

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/804,900	BURKUS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jerry Cumberledge	3733

All Participants:

Status of Application: After Final Rejection

(1) Jerry Cumberledge.

(3) ____.

(2) Douglas Collier.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 1 November 2007

Time: 2:30

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejection of claims 20-60 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

Claims discussed:

20-60

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 EDUARDO C. ROBERT
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner explained that he mistakenly indicated in an advisory action mailed on 10/03/2007 that the claim amendments filed 04/17/2007 would require further search and/or consideration. The claim amendments had previously been considered (see Final Office Action mailed 07/17/2007, pages 18-21), and as such, the Final Office Action is proper and will not be withdrawn. Furthermore, the arguments presented in the request for reconsideration filed on 09/13/2007 will be entered and be considered by the examiner. Applicant's representative is encouraged to contact the examiner if there are any further issues regarding the case.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Amy L. Burkhardt".